ORGANIZATION · C4S COURSES

Farmer Producer Organisation (FPO): the complete A-to-Z guide

13 August 2026

C4S Courses · Agriculture & Rural Development · 13 August 2026 Farmer Producer Organisation (FPO): the complete A-to-Z guide Meaning and legal form, how an FPO is built, the ₹6,865 crore Central Sector Scheme, NABARD's funding architecture, market linkages, verified achievement data, honest challenges and the way forward — with descriptive answer notes for NABARD Grade A, RBI Grade B and UPSC GS 3. 20 min read NABARD Grade A UPSC GS 3 RBI Grade B ESI Mains answer writing **Sources:** Data and scheme details in this article are drawn from Press Information Bureau releases, Lok Sabha replies of the Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, and NABARD's official pages on the Producers Organisation Development Fund and allied programmes. NABARD Grade A 2026 Mentorship ▶ Watch the FPO lecture Telegram updates

Contents What an FPO is Why India needed FPOs Legal framework & evolution How an FPO is formed What an FPO actually does The 10,000 FPO scheme Financial support architecture Institutions & CBBOs NABARD's role in detail Market linkages & digital Achievements: the data Challenges Way forward & 2026-31 FPO vs cooperative vs SHG Exam corner FAQs & glossary

01 — DefinitionWhat is a Farmer Producer Organisation? An FPO is a legal entity owned by primary producers, formed so that small farmers can act in the market with the scale of a large one. NABARD's own definition is precise: a Farmer Producer Organisation is **a legal entity formed by primary producers** — farmers, milk producers, fishermen, weavers, artisans and craftsmen. As the Government describes it, an FPO is registered either under **Part IXA of the Companies Act** or under the **Cooperative Societies Act of the concerned state**, and is formed to leverage collectives through economies of scale. Read the definition carefully and three ideas sit inside it: **Producer-owned.** Only primary producers can be members. It is not an NGO working for farmers; it is a business owned by them. **A legal entity.** Unlike an informal farmer group, an FPO can open a bank account, borrow, sign contracts, hold licences and be sued. That legal personality is what makes it commercially usable. **Economies of scale.** The purpose is not togetherness for its own sake. It is bargaining power — on the input side when buying, and on the output side when selling. Two related terms cause confusion. **FPO** is the generic umbrella. **FPC** — Farmer Producer Company — is the specific form registered under the Companies Act, and is by far the most common choice today because it combines a cooperative's mutual character with a company's professional governance and access to credit. **Watch the full FPO lecture, free on the C4S YouTube channel** The complete concept explained for NABARD Grade A and other agriculture-based exams — structure, scheme, funding and answer framing. ▶ Watch now 02 — RationaleWhy India needed farmer collectives The Government's own framing of the problem is blunt: **more than 86% of farmers in the country are small and marginal**. That single statistic generates every disadvantage the FPO model exists to correct.

The smallholder's problem	What the FPO changes
Buys inputs in tiny lots at retail prices	Bulk procurement of seed, fertiliser and pesticide at wholesale rates
Sells small, ungraded volumes at the mandi's terms	Aggregated, graded lots sold with real negotiating strength
Cannot afford a tractor, harvester or cold store	Custom hiring centres and shared infrastructure at user charges

The smallholder's problem	What the FPO changes
No collateral, so no institutional credit	A borrowing entity with a balance sheet and credit guarantee cover
Sells raw produce, captures no processing margin	Cleaning, grading, sorting, packing and primary processing
Invisible to processors, exporters and retail chains	A single counterparty large enough for a buyer to contract with
No access to extension, technology or price information	Collective access to advisory, technology and market intelligence

The economic logic is aggregation. A farmer with one hectare cannot negotiate with a food processor, obtain a bank loan against a business plan, or invest in a grading line. Three hundred such farmers, acting as one registered entity, can do all three. As the Government puts it, aggregation makes farming *more viable by aggregating land*, shortens the supply chain and reduces marketing costs — so a larger share of the consumer rupee reaches the producer. 03 — Law & historyLegal framework and how the idea evolved The producer company was created to solve a specific legal problem: cooperatives were democratic but often politically captured and financially weak, while ordinary companies were professionally run but not owned by producers. India's answer was a hybrid. The producer company On the recommendation of an expert committee chaired by **Dr Y.K. Alagh**, a new Part IXA was inserted into the Companies Act, 1956 in 2002, creating the **producer company** — a body corporate with a cooperative's mutual-benefit character and a company's governance discipline. Those provisions were subsequently carried into the **Companies Act, 2013**, which now houses producer companies in its own dedicated chapter. Core features worth remembering: It can be incorporated by **ten or more individual producers, or two or more producer institutions**, or a combination. Membership is restricted to primary producers — outsiders cannot hold equity. It follows a **one member, one vote** principle regardless of shareholding, preserving the cooperative character. Shares are not freely tradable, so the entity cannot be taken over by non-producers. Surplus is distributed as limited return on share capital and as *patronage bonus* — in proportion to business done with the company, not shares held. The alternative route An FPO may equally be registered as a **cooperative society** under the relevant state Act, or under a state-specific self-reliant cooperative or producer organisation law. The choice is practical: producer companies face central company-law compliance but attract lenders and buyers more readily, while cooperatives are cheaper to run but subject to state registrar oversight. Evolution of FPO policy in IndiaInfographic · C4S Courses Part IXA 2002 PODF 2011 PRODUCE Fund 2014-15 10,000 FPO Scheme 29 FEB 2020 10,000th FPO FEB 2025 Extension 2026-31 FROM LEGAL FORM (2002) TO NATIONAL PROGRAMME (2020) TO CONSOLIDATION (2026-31) The 2026-31 extension was indicated by the Agriculture Secretary in December 2025 and remains subject to formal approval. 04 — ProcessHow a Farmer Producer Organisation is formed **Cluster identification and baseline.** A produce cluster is identified — one dominant commodity across a contiguous area, following the produce-cluster or One District One Product approach — and a baseline survey establishes cropping pattern, volumes and existing market channels. **Mobilisation of farmers.** Farmer interest groups are formed at village level and aggregated upward. Under the Central Sector Scheme the threshold is generally **300 members in plain areas and 100 members in North Eastern, hilly and tribal areas**, with a substantial proportion of small and marginal farmers. **Share capital mobilisation.** Members subscribe share capital. This step matters more than it appears — it is what converts a beneficiary into an owner, and it is the base on which the matching equity grant is calculated. **Registration.** The entity is incorporated as a producer company under the Companies Act or registered as a cooperative society under the relevant state Act, with a board elected from the membership. **Business plan preparation.** A detailed business plan is prepared covering the input business, aggregation, value addition, market linkage and projected cash flows — the document lenders will actually read. **Licences and compliance.** Depending on activity: GST registration, a mandi or trading licence, seed and fertiliser dealership licences, FSSAI registration for processed products, and a bank account with borrowing resolutions. **Operations and handholding.** A CEO and staff are appointed,

and a Cluster Based Business Organisation provides professional support for five years across governance, accounting, compliance, credit and market linkage. The step most often skipped Registration is easy; **share capital mobilisation and business planning are not**. An FPO registered without genuine member equity and without a viable business plan becomes a dormant shell — a registration certificate with no turnover. Evaluations consistently find that member equity and a working business model, not the paperwork, predict whether an FPO survives past year five. 05 — Business modelWhat an FPO actually does The Government describes the FPO's functions across the full value chain. Tap any stage of the diagram below. The FPO value chain, from input to marketInfographic · C4S Courses Inputs SEED · FERTILISER Aggregation POOLING PRODUCE Value addition GRADE · PACK · PROCESS Logistics STORE · TRANSPORT Market SELL · NEGOTIATE Running underneath: credit access · custom hiring · extension advisory · technology THE SERVICES THAT MAKE EVERY STAGE ABOVE POSSIBLE Inputs Aggregation Value addition Logistics Market Support services **Six functions, one entity** Tap any block. An FPO earns from more than one of these at once — which is exactly why a single-activity FPO usually struggles to cover its overheads. Note the revenue implication. An FPO that only aggregates and sells raw produce earns a thin trading margin and is vulnerable to price swings. The ones that survive typically run **at least three business lines** — input sales, output aggregation and one value-added or service activity such as custom hiring, grading or primary processing. 06 — The flagship schemeThe Central Sector Scheme for 10,000 FPOs This is the scheme every exam question is built on. Learn the launch details, the objectives and the numbers exactly.

Particular	Detail
Full name	Central Sector Scheme for "Formation and Promotion of 10,000 Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs)"
Launched by	Prime Minister, on 29 February 2020, at Chitrakoot, Uttar Pradesh
Ministry	Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare
Type	Central Sector Scheme — fully funded by the Union Government
Budget outlay	₹6,865 crore, up to 2027-28
Management cost support	Up to ₹18 lakh per FPO over three years
Matching equity grant	₹2,000 per farmer member, subject to a ceiling of ₹15 lakh per FPO
Credit guarantee	Up to ₹2 crore of project loan per FPO from eligible lending institutions
Handholding period	Five years from formation, through Cluster Based Business Organisations
Minimum membership	Generally 300 members in plain areas; 100 in North Eastern, hilly and tribal areas

Stated objectives Provide a **holistic and broad-based supportive ecosystem** to form new FPOs, enabling vibrant, sustainable, income-oriented farming and the socio-economic wellbeing of agrarian communities. Enhance productivity through efficient, cost-effective and sustainable resource use, and realise higher returns through better liquidity and market linkages. Provide handholding and support to new FPOs for **five years** across management, inputs, production, processing, value addition, market linkage and credit. Provide effective capacity building to develop agricultural entrepreneurship skills. The cluster approach The scheme follows a **produce cluster / One District One Product** logic: each FPO is anchored on a dominant commodity within a contiguous area so that aggregation, grading, processing and marketing all concentrate on one value chain rather than scattering across many. That is what allows a small FPO to reach a volume a buyer will contract for. 07 — FinanceThe financial support architecture Three distinct instruments do three different jobs. Confusing them is the most common error in exam answers. Three instruments, three purposesInfographic · C4S Courses ₹18 lakh Management cost PER FPO · 3 YEARS Pays the CEO, accountant and office while turnover builds ₹15 lakh Matching equity grant ₹2,000 PER MEMBER · CEILING Doubles the members' own share capital, so it is earned ₹2 crore Credit guarantee PER PROJECT LOAN Substitutes for collateral the FPO does not have A grant is money given. An equity grant is money matched against member contribution. A guarantee is not money at all

— it is a promise to the lender. **Management cost support (₹18 lakh / 3 years)** — a grant to the FPO towards professional management. It tapers away, on the assumption that operating surplus will replace it by year five. **Matching equity grant (₹2,000 per member, max ₹15 lakh)** — released against share capital actually subscribed by members. This is deliberate design: it rewards genuine ownership rather than paper registration. **Credit guarantee (up to ₹2 crore per project loan)** — a Credit Guarantee Fund covers the lender's risk, so a bank can lend to an FPO with no land or building to pledge. A **Credit Guarantee Fund of ₹1,000 crore, with equal contribution from the Government of India and NABARD, is housed under NABSanrakshan**, a NABARD subsidiary. Beyond the scheme itself, FPOs are eligible borrowers under the **Agriculture Infrastructure Fund** for post-harvest and community farming assets, and can access seed capital and credit-linked support under **PM Formalisation of Micro Food Processing Enterprises** where they take up processing. Income tax law also provides a deduction for eligible producer companies below a specified turnover threshold — a point worth checking against the current Finance Act before citing. 08 — InstitutionsWho actually builds the FPOs The scheme runs through a three-tier delivery structure, and examiners like this chain because it shows whether a candidate has read beyond the headline.

Tier	Who	Role
Implementing Agencies	SFAC, NABARD, NCDC, NAFED and other designated central and state agencies	Allocate FPO targets, engage CBBOs, release grants and equity, monitor progress
Cluster Based Business Organisations (CBBOs)	Professional agencies engaged by Implementing Agencies	Aggregate farmers, register the FPO and provide end-to-end professional handholding for five years
Training institute	BIRD, Lucknow	Nodal training institute for the Central Sector Scheme on FPOs
The FPO itself	Board elected from farmer members, with a professional CEO	Runs the business — inputs, aggregation, value addition, marketing

The CBBO is the load-bearing element. It is described as the platform for end-to-end knowledge on all issues relating to FPO promotion: it does the baseline survey, mobilises members, gets the entity registered, prepares the business plan, keeps the books compliant, arranges credit and builds market linkage. The quality of an FPO five years on is largely the quality of the CBBO that raised it — which is why the Ministry has held national conferences of CBBOs to standardise their performance. 09 — NABARDNABARD's role, in detail For NABARD Grade A candidates this is the highest-yield section in the article. Expect to be asked about it directly, in the paper and in the interview. NABARD is both an **implementing agency** of the Central Sector Scheme and the institution that pioneered FPO promotion in India well before the scheme existed. Its own description is that producer organisations are one of the effective means of linking small producers with the agricultural value chain to enhance their net income. The funds

Instrument	Year / corpus	Purpose
PODF	Created 2011	Producers Organisation Development Fund — supports POs on three levers: **credit facilitation, capacity building and market linkage support**, plus grants for business incubation, skill building and ICT application
PRODUCE Fund	Created by GoI in NABARD in 2014-15	Producers Organisation Development and Upliftment Corpus, for the promotion of 2,000 FPOs; more than 2,100 FPOs were promoted under it, around 70% registered as producer companies
PODF-ID	Corpus augmented from RIDF interest differential	Producers' Organisation Development Fund – Interest Differential, for the promotion and nurturing of **3,000 FPOs**

Instrument	Year / corpus	Purpose
Credit Guarantee Fund	₹1,000 crore	Equal contribution from GoI and NABARD, housed under **NABSanrakshan**, to cover lender risk on FPO loans
Farm Sector Promotion Fund	Ongoing	Grant support for promotional and developmental interventions in the farm sector
NABKISAN & subsidiaries	Ongoing	Direct term, working capital and composite lending to FPOs, including subordinated debt
BIRD, Lucknow	Ongoing	Nodal training institute for the Central Sector Scheme on FPOs

Answer-writing note Notice the pattern across all of NABARD's instruments: they combine **grant plus credit plus capacity building** rather than any one alone. That is the point to make in a descriptive answer — NABARD does not treat an FPO as a loan account, it treats it as an enterprise that needs equity, working capital, skills and a buyer at the same time. An answer that lists funds without naming that design principle scores lower than one that names it in a single sentence. 10 — MarketsMarket linkage and the digital push Aggregation is worthless without a buyer. The market-linkage architecture now available to an FPO: **e-NAM** — the electronic national agriculture market, onto which FPOs are onboarded for output trade, allowing sale beyond the local mandi. **ONDC** — the Open Network for Digital Commerce. Of roughly 8,000 registered FPOs, almost 5,000 had been registered on ONDC to sell produce online to consumers across the country, giving direct access to digital marketing, online payment, and both B2B and B2C transactions. **NCDEX FPO platform** — commodity market access for price discovery and hedging. **GeM** — onboarding as sellers on the Government e-Marketplace for institutional demand. **Common Services Centres** — an MoU between CSC SPV and the Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare enables FPOs to function as CSCs, so they can also work as dealers or distributors of services and generate additional income. **Buyer linkages** — processors, exporters, retail chains, and ODOP clusters under the food processing programme. The strategic value of digital onboarding is that it shortens the chain. As the Government notes, selling from the farm gate **shortens the supply chain and reduces marketing costs**, so more of the final price stays with the producer — and it draws investment in marketing and value-addition infrastructure closer to the farm, creating rural employment. 11 — ResultsAchievements: the verified numbers **10,000**FPOs formed under the scheme **56.32 lakh**Farmers mobilised, as on 1 Jan 2026 **23.55 lakh**Women farmers registered (Lok Sabha, Mar 2026) **1,175**FPOs with 100% women members **₹254.4 cr**Equity grant released to 4,761 FPOs **₹453 cr**Credit guarantee cover issued to 1,900 FPOs The **10,000th FPO was registered in Khagaria district, Bihar**, focused on maize, banana and paddy, and was launched on the occasion of the release of the 19th instalment of PM-KISAN. The Government's framing of the milestone is that the formation and promotion of FPOs is *the first step for converting Krishi into Atmanirbhar Krishi*. The gender data deserves its own sentence in any answer. Around **40% of members are women**, nearly 23.55 lakh women farmers are registered, and 1,175 FPOs are composed entirely of women members — which makes the scheme one of the larger women-led enterprise programmes in Indian agriculture, not merely a marketing intervention. Use these numbers carefully Figures come from different reference dates — the equity grant and credit guarantee data are from the February 2025 PIB release, farmer mobilisation from 1 January 2026, and the women-farmer count from a March 2026 Lok Sabha reply. **Quote the date along with the number.** An examiner notices a candidate who says "as on 1 January 2026" rather than one who states a bare figure. 12 — ProblemsChallenges: why many FPOs still struggle A balanced answer must cover this section. Formation is an output; viability is the outcome, and the two are not the same thing. **Working capital and credit access.** An FPO buys produce from members before it sells to a buyer, so it needs cash in hand. Without collateral, credit history or audited accounts, banks hesitate — which is exactly the gap the credit guarantee was designed to close, and it has reached only a fraction of registered FPOs so far. **Weak market linkages.** Most FPOs remain dependent on local traders. Connecting to processors, exporters and organised retail requires volume, consistency, grading discipline and credit terms that a young FPO cannot yet offer. **Shortage of professional management.** A producer company needs a CEO who can

read a balance sheet, negotiate with a buyer and file returns. Rural clusters have few such professionals, and once management-cost support ends the FPO must pay that salary from its own surplus. **Compliance burden.** Company-law filings, GST returns, audits and licences are heavy for an entity with modest turnover — a cost of formality that the Government has itself acknowledged needs addressing. **Low digital adoption.** Onboarding onto e-NAM and ONDC is not the same as trading on them. Digital literacy, cataloguing, packaging and fulfilment capability lag behind registration. **Member engagement and equity.** Farmers who joined for a subsidy rather than as owners do not sell their produce through the FPO when the local trader offers cash today. Patronage — members actually transacting — is the real test. **Dormancy after handholding ends.** Support tapers to zero by year five. Many of the 10,000 FPOs were formed in the last two years and have not yet been through that transition. 13 — Way forwardWay forward and the proposed 2026-31 extension The Government's own reading is that the next phase is about **consolidation rather than formation**. Speaking at an industry FPO summit in December 2025, the Agriculture Secretary indicated that the central scheme would be extended for another five years, from **2026-31**, to address the gaps that have limited scaling up — noting that about 10,000 FPOs have been formed but many were created in the last two years and still require handholding through community-based organisations and implementing agencies, alongside work on compliance and capital hurdles. What a strong answer should recommend: **Shift the metric from FPOs formed to FPOs viable** — measure turnover, member patronage and profitability, not registration counts. **Extend and taper handholding more gradually**, with performance-linked continuation rather than a hard cut-off at year five. **Ease compliance** for small producer companies through simplified filings and single-window state licensing, a reform NABARD's own analysis has recommended. **Deepen working capital access** — wider use of the credit guarantee, warehouse receipt finance, and value-chain finance tied to a confirmed buyer. **Professionalise management** through a trained CEO cadre, shared-services models where several FPOs pool one accountant or compliance officer, and federations at district level. **Build federations and clusters** so FPOs aggregate a second time and reach volumes that exporters and processors will contract for. **Move up the value chain** — from trading raw produce to grading, processing, branding and direct consumer sales, which is where margin actually exists. The line to conclude with Ten thousand FPOs is a formation achievement. The next five years decide whether it becomes an **income achievement** — and that depends less on how many more are registered than on how many of the existing ones survive their sixth year with real turnover and real member patronage. 14 — ComparisonFPO vs cooperative vs SHG

Feature	FPO / Producer Company	Cooperative Society	Self Help Group
Registered under	Companies Act (Part IXA) or state Cooperative Act	State Cooperative Societies Act	Usually informal; may federate
Regulator	Registrar of Companies for producer companies	State Registrar of Cooperative Societies	None statutory
Membership	Primary producers only	Members within the area of operation	Typically 10-20 members, often women
Voting	One member, one vote	One member, one vote	Consensus
Primary purpose	Agri-business enterprise across the value chain	Mutual service in a defined activity	Thrift, savings and micro-credit
Government interference	Limited	Historically higher	Minimal
Access to institutional credit	Stronger — a company balance sheet plus guarantee cover	Moderate	Through SHG-bank linkage

The comparison explains the policy choice. The producer company form was designed precisely to keep the cooperative's democratic ownership while escaping the state control and financial weakness that limited many cooperatives — and to give lenders a familiar corporate structure to lend against. 15 —

Exam cornerNABARD Grade A, RBI Grade B and UPSC GS 3 FPOs appear in **NABARD Grade A** under Agriculture & Rural Development and again in the interview, in **UPSC GS 3** under agricultural marketing, e-technology for farmers and farm subsidies, and in **RBI Grade B ESI** under rural development and inclusive growth. It is also a favourite interview topic because it connects credit, marketing, cooperatives and farm income in one concept. Answer skeleton for a 200-250 word descriptive answer

Part	Words	Content
Definition	25-30	Legal entity of primary producers registered under Part IXA of the Companies Act or a state Cooperative Act, leveraging economies of scale.
Rationale	35-40	More than 86% of farmers are small and marginal; weak bargaining power, no collateral, no processing margin.
Scheme detail	50-60	Launched 29 February 2020, ₹6,865 crore to 2027-28, ₹18 lakh management support, ₹15 lakh equity grant, ₹2 crore credit guarantee, five-year CBBO handholding.
NABARD's role	35-40	PODF, PRODUCE Fund, PODF-ID for 3,000 FPOs, ₹1,000 crore CGF under NABSanrakshan, BIRD Lucknow as nodal training institute.
Achievements	30-35	10,000 FPOs formed, 56.32 lakh farmers mobilised as on 1 January 2026, 23.55 lakh women, 1,175 all-women FPOs.
Challenges + way forward	40-50	Working capital, market linkage, professional management, compliance, dormancy; then consolidation, federations, value addition, proposed 2026-31 extension.

Practice questions "FPOs are an institutional response to the fragmentation of Indian agriculture." Discuss. Open with fragmentation as the structural fact — over 86% small and marginal holdings — and explain that aggregation, not consolidation of land, is the feasible institutional response. Cover the FPO's functions across the value chain, the legal design of the producer company as a cooperative-company hybrid, and the support architecture of the Central Sector Scheme with its three instruments. Then qualify: aggregation of produce is not aggregation of land, so scale economies in cultivation remain limited; and formation without working capital, professional management and buyers produces dormant entities. Conclude that FPOs are a necessary institutional response whose success now depends on business viability rather than further formation. Examine NABARD's role in the promotion and nurturing of Farmer Producer Organisations. Structure it as pioneer, financier and capacity builder. Pioneer: PODF from 2011 and the PRODUCE Fund from 2014-15, under which over 2,100 FPOs were promoted, well before the national scheme. Financier: PODF-ID for 3,000 FPOs, direct and refinance credit including through NABKISAN, and the ₹1,000 crore Credit Guarantee Fund under NABSanrakshan with equal GoI contribution. Capacity builder: BIRD Lucknow as the nodal training institute, plus grants for business incubation, skill building and ICT application. Add its role as an implementing agency of the Central Sector Scheme. Conclude with the design principle — grant, credit and capacity building delivered together — and note the gaps NABARD's own analysis identifies, such as licensing complexity and the need for state-level policy support. "The challenge before India is no longer forming FPOs but sustaining them." Critically examine. Accept the premise with evidence: the 10,000 target has been met, so the binding constraint has shifted from formation to viability. Detail the sustainability constraints — working capital, thin trading margins, weak buyer linkage, scarce professional management, compliance costs, low digital transaction volumes despite onboarding, and the taper of management-cost support by year five. Then qualify the premise: coverage is still uneven across regions and commodities, and many small and marginal farmers remain outside any collective, so formation has not become irrelevant. Conclude on the proposed 2026-31 extension with its focus on handholding, compliance and capital, and argue for a shift in success metrics from FPOs registered to turnover, patronage and profitability. Figures and facts worth memorising Scheme launched 29 February 2020 at Chitrakoot, UP · outlay ₹6,865 crore to 2027-28 · ₹18 lakh management cost over 3 years · ₹2,000 per member matching equity, ceiling ₹15 lakh · credit guarantee up to ₹2 crore per project loan · 5 years of CBBO handholding · 300 members in plains, 100 in hilly and

North Eastern areas · 10,000th FPO in Khagaria, Bihar (maize, banana, paddy) · 56.32 lakh farmers mobilised as on 1 January 2026 · 23.55 lakh women farmers and 1,175 all-women FPOs · ₹254.4 crore equity grant to 4,761 FPOs · ₹453 crore guarantee cover to 1,900 FPOs · NABARD: PODF 2011, PRODUCE Fund 2014-15, PODF-ID for 3,000 FPOs, ₹1,000 crore CGF under NABSanrakshan, BIRD Lucknow. Preparing for NABARD Grade A 2026? FPOs are exactly the kind of topic that decides NABARD outcomes — it appears in Phase 1 ARD, returns as a Phase 2 descriptive question, and comes up again in the interview. Knowing it as a list of scheme figures is not the same as being able to write 250 marks-worthy words on it under time pressure. The **C4S NABARD Grade A 2026 Mentorship Programme** covers the merit sections to Phase 2 descriptive depth, with evaluated answer writing, mock tests, current affairs support and interview preparation. In NABARD Grade A 2025, 8 of the top 10 ranks went to C4S students: **Rank 1**Suraj Ravindra Jatkar **Rank 2**Lokesh Ram Chandran **Rank 3**Vivek Ghadge **Rank 5**Kapil Patlya **Rank 6**Mohit Soni **Rank 7**Siddhant Kesharwani **Rank 8**Nithin Chacko **Rank 9**Lalit Kumar Explore the Mentorship Programme ▶ FPO lecture on YouTube Join @Clarity4Sure 16 — ReferenceFrequently asked questions What is the difference between an FPO and an FPC? FPO is the generic term for any farmer producer collective, whatever its legal form. FPC — Farmer Producer Company — is the specific form registered under the Companies Act. Every FPC is an FPO; an FPO registered as a cooperative society is not an FPC. Can a member sell produce outside the FPO? Yes — membership is not an exclusive supply contract. That freedom is also the model's central commercial weakness: if a local trader pays cash on the spot while the FPO pays after it sells, members transact outside. Building patronage through better price realisation, timely payment and input benefits is the practical answer. How does an FPO earn money? From margins on input sales to members, trading margins on aggregated produce, value-addition margin on grading, packing and processing, user charges on custom hiring and storage, and commissions on services such as functioning as a Common Services Centre. FPOs that rely on only one of these usually cannot cover overheads once grant support ends. Who can become a member of an FPO? Primary producers — farmers, milk producers, fishermen, weavers, artisans and craftsmen. Non-producers cannot hold membership or equity in a producer company, which is what keeps ownership with the producers themselves. Is the 10,000 FPO scheme over now that the target has been met? The formation target has been achieved, but the scheme's outlay runs to 2027-28 and handholding obligations for recently formed FPOs continue. The Agriculture Secretary indicated in December 2025 that the scheme would be extended for a further five years from 2026-31, with the emphasis shifting to handholding, compliance and capital rather than fresh formation. Confirm the final approved contours from PIB before citing in an answer. Glossary **FPO**Farmer Producer Organisation — a legal entity of primary producers. **FPC**Farmer Producer Company — an FPO registered under the Companies Act. **CBBO**Cluster Based Business Organisation — provides five years of professional handholding. **SFAC**Small Farmers' Agribusiness Consortium — a lead implementing agency. **NCDC**National Cooperative Development Corporation — an implementing agency. **PODF**Producers Organisation Development Fund, created by NABARD in 2011. **PRODUCE Fund**Producers Organisation Development and Upliftment Corpus, 2014-15. **PODF-ID**PODF – Interest Differential, for promoting and nurturing 3,000 FPOs. **NABSanrakshan**NABARD subsidiary housing the ₹1,000 crore Credit Guarantee Fund. **Patronage bonus**Surplus distributed in proportion to business done with the FPO, not shares held. **e-NAM**Electronic National Agriculture Market for online output trade. **ONDC**Open Network for Digital Commerce, used by FPOs for direct-to-consumer sales. Eight things to carry away An FPO is a **legal entity of primary producers** under Part IXA of the Companies Act or a state Cooperative Act. It exists because **over 86% of Indian farmers are small and marginal** and cannot reach markets, credit or technology alone. The producer company form is a deliberate **cooperative-company hybrid**, with one member one vote and patronage-based surplus. The Central Sector Scheme launched **29 February 2020** with **₹6,865 crore** to 2027-28. Three instruments do three jobs: **₹18 lakh management support, ₹15 lakh matching equity, ₹2 crore credit guarantee**. **NABARD is both pioneer and implementing agency** — PODF, PRODUCE Fund, PODF-ID, NABSanrakshan's ₹1,000 crore CGF and BIRD Lucknow. The **10,000 target has been met**: 56.32 lakh farmers mobilised as on 1 January 2026, with strong women's participation. The next test is **viability, not formation** — working capital, buyers, professional

management and life after handholding ends.

Sources & disclaimer **Sources.** Scheme parameters, achievement data and the milestone details are drawn from Press Information Bureau releases of the Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, including the release on the achievement of 10,000 FPOs and subsequent Lok Sabha replies; NABARD's official pages on the Producers Organisation Development Fund, PODF-ID, the PRODUCE Fund and NABSanrakshan; and NABARD's published analysis on the status and issues of FPOs. The proposed extension of the scheme for 2026-31 was indicated publicly by the Agriculture Secretary in December 2025. **Disclaimer.** Figures carry different reference dates and scheme parameters are periodically revised. Verify against PIB, the scheme guidelines of the Department of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, and NABARD before citing in a formal answer, dissertation or paper. **C4S Courses:** NABARD Grade A 2026 Mentorship · FPO lecture on YouTube · t.me/Clarity4Sure Published 13 August 2026 · C4S Courses · NABARD Grade A, RBI Grade B and UPSC GS 3 preparation.

THE CLASSROOM BEHIND THIS BOOK

Be next. Be a topper.

Eight of the top 10 ranks in NABARD Grade A 2025 went to students who prepared with us — **32 final selections** from the 2025 batch alone, 12 more at the interview stage. The same mentors write this material every day, and teach the courses below.

8/10	**32**	**12**	**Daily**
of the top 10 ranks	final selections, one batch	reached the interview	current affairs, free

NABARD Grade A 2026	The complete course — c4scourses.in/join/nabard/
RBI Grade B 2026	Phase 1 + Phase 2 with descriptive practice — c4scourses.in/join/rbi/
Free live strategy sessions	Every week on Zoom, with the mentors behind these results — c4scourses.in/webinar/
Daily current affairs	Every morning, free — c4scourses.in/current-affairs/
Daily quiz	Ten questions on each day's news — c4scourses.in/daily-quiz/
WhatsApp channel	Every new PDF, first — whatsapp.com/channel/0029Vao03aUADTO9sOoigE2F
Telegram	t.me/Clarity4Sure
Talk to a mentor	+91 87086 52887 · c4scourses.in/enquiry/

Every selection of the 2025 batch on one poster · c4scourses.in/success-stories/

From c4scourses.in/organization/farmer-producer-organisation-fpo-the-complete-a-to-z-guide/ · made 24 October 2026 · © Clarity 4 Sure. Free to share as it is; please do not sell or alter.